

REMARKS

Claims 1 has been amended to state that the device has an intermediate position wherein the device is blocked from being moved to the open position until the handle is further manipulated. Support for this can be found in paragraph 57. Accordingly, no new matter is involved.

In the previous office action the Examiner rejected Applicants' claim 1 under 35 USC 103 over Ewerhardt et al. in view of Pena. However, Ewerhardt and Pena fail to disclose a device having an intermediate position wherein the device is blocked from being moved to the open position until the handle is further manipulated. Therefore, it is respectfully requested that the Examiner withdraw this rejection to claim 1. In addition, because all other claims depend from claim 1, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner withdraw the rejections to these claims as well.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner re-examine and favorably reconsider Applicants' claims in the form of a Notice of Allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Dean L. Garner/

Dean Garner, Esq. Reg. No. 35,877
Attorney for Applicant(s)

One Johnson & Johnson Plaza
New Brunswick, NJ 08933-7003
(513) 337-8559

Date: 15 January 2010